	Application N .	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	09/963,684	KANO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jason Prone	3724
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Allan Shoap.	(3) <u>Takamitsu Fujiu</u> .	
(2) Jason Prone.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 January 2003.	.1	•
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☒ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: 7 and 8	Allan N. Shoap Supervisory Patent Examiner	
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>JP 61-239696</u> .	Supervisory Pa Group	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g) was not reached. h)[	N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See holds:  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a schecked).		ce of the interview(if box is
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
Mr. Fujiu clarified the relation	ships of the pa	rt in the
drawings. Figure 2 does require	some revision	to be Consistant
with figure 3. A new figure wi	11 be provided who	ch will comprise
a plan view essentially of Figu	re 3. Any change	to the drawny
a plan view essentially of figure 3. Any change to the drawing or specification will be backed up by an explanation of how it is support by original disclosure Prior art rejection will be reconsidered in view		
support by Giginal disclosure Prior art reje	ction will be recon	sidured in view
of the claritication provided.	· comy	K
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Examiner's sign	nature, if required